UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/665,770 09/19/2003		Pankaj Jay Pasricha	D6475	6393	
Benjamin Aaroi	7590 05/07/200 n Adler	EXAMINER			
ADLER & ASS	SOCIATES	KIM, JENNIFER M			
8011 Candle La Houston, TX 77			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			1617		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			05/07/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/665,770 PASRICHA, PAN		JAY	
Examiner	Art Unit		
JENNIFER MYONG M. KIM	1617		

	JENNIFER MYONG M. KIM	1617					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 21 April 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apperfor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of A replies: (1) an amendment, affidavited al (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request				
a) The period for reply expires 5 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection	n.				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date thave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extender 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1: ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origi than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as				
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the					
AMENDMENTS  3. ☐ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	nsideration and/or search (see NOT		cause				
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better appeal; and/or	**	ducing or simplifying tl	ne issues for				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.					
<ul><li>4.  The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12</li><li>5.  Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li></ul>		mpliant Amendment (l	PTOL-324).				
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).	·	•	_				
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		l be entered and an e	xplanation of				
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-6 and 10-15</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>7-9 and 16-18</u> . <u>AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE</u>							
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>							
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	al and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a ).				
10.	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.				
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered but <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u></li> </ol>	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:				
<ul><li>12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (</li><li>13. ☐ Other:</li></ul>	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)						
	/JENNIFER M KIM/ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 1617					

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The claims fail to patentably distinguish over the state of the art as represented by the cited references. Applicants essentially argue that irritable bowel syndrome (IBS) and IBD are distinct disorders, and not the same condition without any link as disclosed in the Website references. This is not found to be persuasive because the teaching of the prior art (Basu et al.) is clear that IBS and IBD are related as inflammatory diseases. Moreover, that the reference teaches that the both diseases are treatable with anti-inflammatory agents that halt an inflammatory process. Thus, the claims fail to patentably distinguish over the state of the art as represented by the cited references..